Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,505	CONNORS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Thomas A. Morrison	3653	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Thomas A. Morrison.	(3)		
(2) Mr. Ronald K. Aust (Reg. No. 36,735).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 21 August 2007	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None		•	
Claims discussed: 9 and 18	• .	•	
Prior art documents discussed: None		·	
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GET See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumn 	The examiner will provide a e record of the substance o	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview	
	PATRICK MACKEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3		
Taomas Manin			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted Mr. Aust (applicant's representative) on 8/21/2007 to inform him that two typographical errors needed to be corrected in claims 9 and 18, as per the attached examiner's amendment. During the telephone conversation, Mr. Aust indicated that correction of such errors was fine. No extension fee is required for the examiner's amendment, as per MPEP 706.07(f)(II)(G).